Productivity Improvement by Using Time and Motion Study Techniques in Lotus High Tech Company
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Abstract
The purpose of this research is to improve productivity in Lotus High Tech Company (LHTC). This research used time and motion study techniques to improve the production process at LHTC. The research objectives towards accomplishing this study is to identify problems in the current method and improve it in terms of production time, number of process and production layout by proposing a new method to the manufacturing product. The current method in the manufacturing of garment in the production line involved some unnecessary steps, where the materials and components take a very long and roundabout path on their journey to the workstation, which adversely affect productivity. The proposed method eliminates these steps, which reduces production time, number of processes, and the overall increase in productivity is about 72.6%.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Nowadays, the need to improve productivity is essential. Therefore, time and motion study is an important tool for controlling production and improving productivity in industrial organizations [1]. In studying any problem there should be a definite and ordered sequence. This sequence includes [1, 2, and 3]:
1. Problem definition
2. Obtain all the data relevant to the problem.
3. Examining the facts critically, taking into consideration the factors controlling the production method.
4. Develop new method to perform the task.

A conducted study by Gangopadhyay (2006) to organize production method by means of modifications in the existing method for enhancing productivity in sand core manufacturing. According to Gangopadhyay, it was found that the existing method of both types of sand core making involve some unnecessary steps, which may adversely affect productivity. The modified method eliminates these steps and the overall productivity in carbon dioxide sand core making and chemical sand core making increased by 8.5% and 30%, respectively [4].

A conducted research was done by using time and motion study techniques to reduce the inspection time in the Motor Vehicle Periodic Inspection (MVPI), by Al-Saleh (2010). The main problem of this research was an inspection point (No. 1) which consumed more time in comparison with the other inspection points. Accordingly, this inspection point increases the flow time in the inspection lines. This research concluded that time and motion study techniques increase the productivity by 178% [5].

This research was performed to improve the production process and increase the productivity of the jeans Levi’s Model 501 - line (No. 7) in Lotus High Tech Company (LHTC) station in Port Said,
Egypt. In LHTC, the production lines are working in a classical routine mode without continuous evaluation of the production steps, which may adversely affect the productivity. Hence, they are looking to improve the productivity in production lines which operating in the brand Levi’s Model 501. The time and motion study techniques are used directly as a means to improve productivity [6].

2. Process Overview

The product used in the present study is a garment Levi’s Model 501. The major processes involved in manufacturing garment Levi’s Model 501 consists of the following stages:

2.1 Subassemblies

Subassemblies are a collection of parts put together as a unit to be used in the making of a larger assembly or a final or higher item [7]. Subassemblies consist of the following components (Figure 1, 2):

- **Belt Loops**: (4) release process, (5) making belt loops (6) belt loops fusing.
- **Watch Pocket**: (4) release process, (5) hem watch pocket (6) press watch pocket.
- **Front Facing**: (1L) release process, (2L) left front facing iron, (3L) attach left front facing on pocketing, (1L) examine left front facing for quality, (1R) release process, (2R) right front facing iron, (3R) mark watch pocket placement, (4R) attach right front facing on pocketing, (5R) attach watch pocket on right front facing, (1R) release process, (2R) set front facing on pocketing, (5L) examine the part for quality, (5) mark CB yoke to back (Right), (4R) Serge right back panel, (4) seat seam attach, (2) examine the part for quality, (5) mark back, (6) bartack back BKT

![Figure 1](image1.png)  
**Figure 1** Operation Process Chart for the Front.

![Figure 2](image2.png)  
**Figure 2** Operation Process Chart for the Back.
2.2 Final Assembly

The final assembly shown in Figure 3 gives a broad overview for the final stages of assembling the final product (Levi’s Model 501). The final assembly consists of the following stages:

1. Attach inseam,
2. Top stitch inseam,
3. Bartack front,
4. Join side seam with Levi’s label,
5. Tack side seam,
6. Cord stitch at side seam,
7. Side seam busting,
8. Bottom hemming,
9. Turn pant,
10. Attach CB waistband label,
11. Examine the part for quality,
12. Finish waistband wnds (1),
13. Finish waistband wnds (2),
14. Attach rivets,
15. Mark for back loops,
16. Attach belt loops,
17. Close fly buttons,
18. Examine the product for quality (PO),
19. Closing fly buttons,

![Figure 3 Operation Process Chart for the Assembly.](image)

1. Problem Statement & Research Aim

After continuously monitoring the production processes in line (No. 7) at LHTC, it was found that there are some problems in this plant such as:

1. The workspace is too small, and the production lines are too close to each other.
2. The passages to transport materials and products are too narrow and busy with handling equipment used in handling the parts. The movement of the forklift to transport the products of each production line is difficult which leads to low productivity.
3. The workers often use the method “Trial and Error”, causing waste of time.
4. The materials and components take a very long and roundabout path on their journey to workstation. Hence, it was found that there is a large percentage of the total production time as a delay and transportation of the materials and components.

The research aim is to improve the productivity in terms of production time, number of processes and production layout by proposing the new work process to LHTC. It is expected that the productivity, profit, and comfort of customers will increase while the production time, cost, and labor requirement will decrease [8, 9].

2. Methodology

In this research, time and motion study techniques were applied for tackling problems on all scales from the layout of complete factory to the smallest movements of workers in repetitive operations. These techniques can lead to reduction of redundant operations, and elimination of repeated steps, eventually resulting to reduced numbers of operations and production time [6, 8, and 9].

The following steps were employed for improving the productivity in the LHTC:

1. All activities have been observed, defined and recorded.
2. The necessary measurements for those activities have been taken by stopwatch (CASIO digital stopwatch HS-30W-1V).
3. The collected data in steps 1, 2 have been presented in different flow process charts and flow diagrams.
4. Examination of the actual situation and collected data critically.
5. Developing and defining new improved production method, which results in more productivity.
3. Results and Discussion

The results obtained from the study were analyzed in the following to improve the productivity in terms of production time, number of processes and production layout by proposing the new better method to perform the task. These results are divided into two parts.

5.1 Current Method

Based on systematic observation of the current method, the collected data have been presented in flow process chart, and flow diagram. Analysis of flow process chart was conducted. All processes in current method shown in Figure 4 were examined.

From the flow diagram (Figure 4), the data was collected by measurement of time in each process. This diagram was analyzed to show production method from the beginning (release process) to the end in production line (No. 7).

From the flow diagram (current method); it was found that the current method consists of 261 process, divided into 86 operation, 10 inspection process, while there are 128 transportation process, 32 delays, 5 storages, with overall processes time of about 630 min, and the traveled distance about 2166 m for manufacturing 5 pieces from the product.

![Figure 4 Flow Diagram of Levi's 501 in Lotus High Tech Company (current method)](image)

5.2 Proposed Method
From the observation, data collection and data analysis, it was developed production method to manufacture jeans (Levi's Model 501). In the proposed method, the unnecessary steps eliminated, combining some operations, and changes the arrangement of equipments in production department to reduce the total production time, shown in Figure 5. It was demonstrated that the number of processes can be decreased from 261 to 212 process. In the proposed method, it was found that the number of operations has been reduced from 86 to 82. This has been done by combining some operations with other, which leads to reduce time of “operations” from 136 min to 128 min- making save of over 5 percent approximately. The transports have been reduced from 128 to 97, by eliminating the unnecessary transportation, which leads to reduction of the transports time from 213 min to 112 min- making save of over 47 percent approximately. The inspections have been reduced from 10 to 8 by
combining some inspections with operations (combined activity), which leads to a reduction time of "inspections" from 20 min to 15 min- making save of over 24 percent approximately. The number of "delays" has been reduced from 32 to 18, by the eliminating the unnecessary delays, which leads a reduction in time of "delays" from 261 min to 105 min- making save of over 59 percent approximately. It is evident from the summary illustrated in Tables 1 and 2 that there have been considerable reductions in the number of "non- productive" activities which leads to reduce time of "processes" from 630 min to 364.6 min - making save of over 42 percent per 5 pieces from the product.

Table 1: Change in number of processes after improvement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>No. of processes</th>
<th>saving</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>5 5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation</td>
<td>86 82</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>128 97</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection</td>
<td>10 8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay</td>
<td>32 18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>43.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>-- 2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>261 212</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>18.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Change in time of processes after improvement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>saving</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>-- --</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation</td>
<td>136 128</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>213 112</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection</td>
<td>20 15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay</td>
<td>261 105</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>59.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>-- 4.6</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (min)</td>
<td>630 364.6</td>
<td>265.4</td>
<td>42.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the improved method for manufacturing of the product (Levi's Model 501), the total time spent for manufacturing one piece (jeans) reduced from 126 min to 73 min. As the workers made 1000 piece/day (current method), the total time saved in day was 53000 min. Since the manufacture of one piece in the proposed method took 73 min, additional 726 pieces could be prepared in the proposed method to be the output of the proposed method 1726 piece/day. However, the increase in output in percent if Lotus High Tech Company applied the proposed method will be 72.6% by using the following formula [2, 5]: Increase in output %

\[
\text{Increase in output } \% = \frac{\text{New method } - \text{Current method}}{\text{Current method}} \times 100
\]

\[
\frac{1726 - 1000}{1000} \times 100 = 72.6\%
\]
4. Conclusion

In this research, productivity is improved in Lotus High Tech Company (LHTC) by using time and motion study techniques. This research analyzed the current method using flow process charts and flow diagrams. The proposed method eliminates unnecessary steps, which leads to reduce production time, number of processes. The distances in the transportation of materials and components can be shortened from about 2166 m to 1264 m (making save of over 41%). The total time spent for manufacturing one pieces (jeans) reduced from 126 min to 73 min (making save of over 42%). The productivity in manufacturing garment Levi's Model 501 will increase by 72.6%, if Lotus High Tech Company applied the proposed method.
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