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ABSTRACT
Self-compacting concrete (SCC) is used to facilitate constructability and ensure proper filling and good structural performance of highly congested and complex design structural sections. It is also used to improve productivity of concrete placement and provide better working environment by eliminating the vibration noise. The use of fibers in SCC provides a way of increasing productivity as it combines the positive effects of eliminating vibration work.

The purpose of this study is to investigate the self-compactability of fresh concretes with different types of fibers (steel, glass and polypropylene fiber mesh) and different volumetric ratio of fibers (0.0, 0.5 and 1%). In this paper, the self-compactability of fresh concretes with these types and different amounts of fibers were investigated by means of the slump flow test, the V-type funnel test and L-box method. No compaction was used for the SCC mixes, while the reference mix was compacted using the vibrating table. Also, in this study, the mechanical properties of hardened self-compacting concrete (SCC) were investigated in terms of standard compressive and splitting tensile strength.

Results from these tests show that there may be a slight reduction in workability due to the addition of fibers. However despite the small reduction in workability it is generally not more difficult to produce a good SCC with fibers than without. The results indicate that it is possible to achieve a good SCC also with a rather large amount of fibers.
Self-compacting concrete (SCC) is highly flowable concrete that can spread into place under its own weight and achieve good consolidation without internal or external vibration and without exhibiting defects due to segregation and bleeding. Self-compacting concrete is a product of technological advancement in the area of under-water concrete technology where the mixture is proportioned to ensure high fluidity while providing high resistance to water dilution and segregation. The use of SCC has gained wide acceptance in Japan since the late 1980’s for casting congested members as well as well as the placement of concrete in restricted areas where consolidation may not be practical [1-5]. In general, SCC is used to facilitate the filling of congested structural sections and cast elements with restricted access for placement and consolidation. For example, the repair of the bottom sides of beams, girders, and stabs often necessitates filling narrow and difficult to access areas. Self-compacting concrete can also be used in casting non-congested structures where limitation of concrete consolidation or the required duration of intervention can reduce construction costs as well as noise, which can be important in some urban areas. The use of Self-compacting concrete SCC for concrete structures promises to bring a number of advantages including reduced noise (resulting from vibration compaction), man power savings, and improved product quality [6-7].

The use of steel fiber reinforced concrete (SFRC) also gives an increase of potential productivity on site of ready mixed concrete due to the fact that some or all of the conventional re-bars or mesh can be excluded [8-9]. The incorporation of steel fibers improves engineering performance of structural and non-structural concrete, including better crack resistance, ductility and toughness, as well as greater tensile strength, resistance to fatigue, impact, blast loading, and abrasion. The incorporation of metallic fibers enhances the structural performance of reinforced concrete, including the reduction of spalling of the cover over reinforcement in column elements, the increase in shear strength of beams, as well as the enhancement of ductility of beam column connections. The fiber content, length, aspect ratio, and shape play an important role in controlling workability of FRC [10-11].

A truly fiber-reinforced SCC should spread into place under its own weight and achieve consolidation without internal or external vibration, ensure proper dispersion of fibers and undergo minimum entrapment of air voids and loss of homogeneity until hardening. Lack of proper self-consolidation or intentional vibration and compaction can result in macro-and micro-structural defects that can affect mechanical performance and durability. Typically, the reduction in fiber length and the decrease in the nominal size of aggregate and aggregate volume reduce such internal resistance to flow and increase workability. Providing proper resistance to bleeding and segregation is essential for the successful production and casting of SCC, especially when relatively heavy metallic fibers are incorporated [12-13].

The objectives of the study reported in this paper are to further establish the feasibility of producing and testing fiber self-compacting concrete (FSCC) and evaluate the suitability of evaluating restricted deformability of FSCC using workability tests proven. In this Investigation the properties of FSCC in the fresh and hardened state were discussed taking into consideration the effect of types of fibers (steel, glass and polypropylene fiber mesh) and different volumetric ratios of fibers (0.0, 0.5 and 1%).
EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION

Twenty-seven self-compacting concrete (SCC) mixtures were prepared for different types and different volumetric ratios of fibers as shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix No.</th>
<th>Type of Fibers</th>
<th>% Volume Fraction of Fibers</th>
<th>Type of Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>Polypropylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Layout of Used Mixes.

Materials

The mixtures were prepared with well-graded quartzite sand with a specific gravity of 2.69, an absorption value of 1.2%, and fineness modulus of 2.56. Coarse aggregate gravel with a nominal size of 20 mm was used. It has a specific gravity of 2.79 and an absorption value of 0.77%. Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) from Suez factory was used and complied with the Egyptian Code. The cement content and water cement ratio have been kept constant at 450 kg/m³ and 0.4, respectively. Clean tap water free from impurities was used for mixing the
Concrete. A viscosity agent that strange admixture was used. The dosage of the viscosity-enhanced admixture (VEA) used in this work was 1.5% of cement weight. A continuously deformed shape stainless steel fiber was used. The steel and glass fiber length of 25 mm was selected. Polypropylene fibers manufactured by fiber mesh Co. Division of synthetic industries in USA with 25 mm length, 0.9 specific gravity and young's modulus of 3.5 KN/mm² were used. A high range water reducing agent (HRWR) (sulfonated naphthalene formaldehyde base, SNF) was added in the amount of 3% by weight of the cement. The absolute volume method recommended by ACI committee was used to determine the required quantities of materials for the test mix. The volume of coarse aggregate was 50% of the total volume of solids in concrete. A total 27 cubes, 15×15×15 cm and cylinders, 15 cm in diameter and 30 cm in length were casted and tested to determine compressive and tensile strengths of self-compacting concrete (SCC) respectively.

Testing Methods

The used sand and coarse aggregate were washed by water to remove any impurities and clay and kept at room temperature one day before testing. To prepare the mixes, the dry constituents of cement and both coarse and fine aggregate were placed in the mixer and mixed for 60 seconds; then water containing the superplasticizer and VEA were added and mixing continued for a further four minutes. During this time, the different types of fibers were added to the mix. The properties of SCC in fresh state were determined by different methods such as slump flow, V-funnel and L-box method and by slump cone for ordinary concrete.

Slump-flow test: The slump flow is a method to test the workability by using the normal slump cone without compaction. The size of slump cone used (upper diameter of 100 mm, lower diameter of 200 mm and height of 300 mm) was regulated in JIS A 1101, and test was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of JSCE [14]. The slump cone is slowly lifted up vertically, and the diameter, which represents the maximum spread of the concrete, is measured as well as a diameter perpendicular to it. The average of these diameters was calculated to determine the slump flow value. Simultaneously with the slump flow test, measurement of the time taken by slump flow to reach a 500 mm value was done and naked eye observation on segregation was also performed. This test also clearly verifies the stability of the concrete.

L-box method: The L-box was made in the workshop with dimensions as shown in Fig. 1 and Photo. 1. The test is set up by filling the vertical part of the L-flow apparatus concrete without any consolidation. The gate separating the vertical and horizontal parts is then lifted enabling the concrete to spread into the horizontal section. The end of the horizontal section is removed to enable the concrete to spread for a distance greater than 150 cm, if necessary. The maximum spread distance form the vertical gate (L_max) is measured to assess the deformability capacity of the concrete. Similarly, the velocity of the concrete spread at various stations (40, 60 and 80 cm from the gate) can be determined to assess the speed of deformability. The average surface gradient (H/L) can be calculated to evaluate the self-leveling property of the concrete. Such value is taken as the ratio of the difference in concrete heights determined at a distance of 10 cm away from the gate and at the end of the spread out concrete divided by (L_max −10 cm).
**V-type funnel test**: V type funnel test was conducted using a funnel with the shape and dimensions shown in Photo. 2 and Fig. 2. The fresh concrete was placed in the funnel without any compaction, and the bottom of the funnel was opened allowing the concrete to flow down. The time taken by the fresh concrete to flow out of the funnel was measured [15].

**TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The slump flow test was chosen to study the free deformability of the SCC, while the V-funnel flows were employed to evaluate the restricted deformability. The V-funnel test was employed to assess the feasibility of aggregate particles and mortar to change their flow paths and spread through a restricted area without blockage. The measured values (in seconds) T<sub>40</sub>, T<sub>60</sub> and T<sub>80</sub> were obtained to measure the velocity of SCC. These values describe the viscosity of the SCC in the way that longer measured velocity corresponds to higher viscosity. These tests were carried out to obtain the properties of fresh concrete. Various mixes of SCC were prepared for different types of fibers (steel, glass and polypropylene fiber mesh) and different volumetric ratios of fibers (0.0, 0.5 and 1%).

**Effect of Types of Fibers on Properties of Fresh SCC**

The effect of types of fibers measured by the slump flow test with different volumetric ratios of fibers is clearly shown in Fig. (3). This figure shows that the addition of steel fibers had the maximum effect on the slump flow diameter of SCC. The degree of workability reduction due to fiber addition is lower in the case of the polypropylene fiber concrete than the glass fiber mixture. For example, when adding polypropylene fibers to SCC, the slump flow diameter was decreased by about 5% than the glass fiber mixture and decreased by about 7% than the steel fiber mixture. These results were obtained when volume fraction of fibers was 0.5%. This behavior may be explained as follows: The presence of steel fibers in SCC allows fibers to be distributed over the whole area of SCC during the mixing more than the other types of fibers, which leads to a high fluidity of self-compacting concrete. It is believed that the rigidity of the steel fibers in comparison to the other two types is responsible for the better performance of these steel fibers. The other two types of fibers have the tendency to interfere together, in some places in the mix, which results in reduction in the workability and deformability of the mix. It is generally not more difficult to produce a good SCC with the addition of glass or polypropylene fibers. Test results presented in Fig. (4) show the time required for the concrete to reach a diameter of 500 mm on the flowing table (T<sub>50</sub>) for different types and volume fractions of fibers. When using polypropylene fibers for casting the concrete, the slump flow time, T<sub>50</sub> was not observed and measured. The workability for this type of fibers was low but still considerably greater than that of the reference mix. It can be concluded that the incorporation of steel fibers to SCC can then increase the slump flow time (T<sub>50</sub>).

Figure (5) explains the effect of types of fibers on the V-funnel flow time of SCC for different volumetric ratios of fibers. It can be noticed that the flow time was increased for the addition of polypropylene fibers to SCC than for the addition of glass or steel fibers to SCC. As an example, the V-funnel flow time was decreased by about 13% for SCC made by glass fibers in comparison to concrete made by polypropylene fibers, and was decreased by about 36% for incorporation of steel fibers rather than polypropylene fibers mesh. These results were reported when the volumetric ratio of fibers was 0.5%.
of SCC passing in the L-box method corresponding to $T_{40}$, $T_{60}$ and $T_{80}$ for different types and volumetric ratios of fibers as shown in Fig. (6). This figure indicates that the velocity of SCC was increased by about 45% more for the addition of steel fibers than when using polypropylene fibers. Figure (7) was employed to evaluate the H/L ratio of SCC for different types and volume fractions of fibers. When H/L ratios were decreased, this meant higher deformability and flowability of SCC were obtained. This figure reflects that the addition of steel fibers gives an excellent deformability and flowability of SCC.

**Effect of Volumetric Ratios of Fibers on Properties of Fresh SCC**

Figure (8) shows the decrease in slump flow diameter for different volumetric ratios and types of fibers. This figure indicates that the increase in fiber volume resulted in a net reduction in the slump flow diameter. For example, the increase in fiber volume from 0.0 to 0.5% resulted in a lower slump flow diameter by about 10%, and when fiber volume increased from 0.5 to 1%, this resulted in a lower slump flow diameter by about 9%. These results were obtained when adding steel fibers to the SCC. Also, it can be noticed from Fig. (9) that the slump flow time ($T_{50}$) was increased for increasing volume fraction of fibers.

Test results presented in Fig. (10) gives the V-funnel flow time for different volumetric ratios and types of fibers. This figure shows that increasing volume fraction from 0.0 to 0.5% resulted in increasing the V-funnel flow time by about 40% and when increasing volume fraction from 0.5 to 1%, this resulted in increasing the V-funnel flow time by about 50%. These results were reported when adding steel fibers to SCC. This is because a high volume of fibers increases the internal resistance to flow and intrinsic viscosity as well as the degree of fiber interference with flow through the restricted section of V-funnel test. Figure (11) illustrates the effect of volume fraction of fibers on the velocity of SCC for different types of fibers. It was observed that increasing volume fraction of fibers up to 1%, the velocity of SCC was decreased by about 20% for the addition of steel fibers. High deformability was obtained when the fiber volume was limited to 0.5%. At 1% fibers, the deformability was low but still considerably greater than that of the conventional mixture. Also it was found that as polypropylene fibers content increases from 0.0 to 0.5%, the H/L ratios were increased and reached to 10.5%, and when polypropylene fibers content increases from 0.5 to 1%, a significant increase in H/L ratios was obtained and reached to 28% as shown in Fig. (12).

**The Mechanical Properties of SCC**

Figures (13 and 14) show the development of compressive and tensile strengths as a function of different types and volumetric ratios of fibers of SCC. These figures indicate that the addition of steel fibers to SCC resulted in slightly increases for both the compressive and tensile strengths in comparison to the other two types. Also, it was found that as the steel fibers content increases from 0.0 to 0.5% the compressive and tensile strength increased by about 4% and 14% respectively, and when fibers volume increased from 0.5 to 1%, this resulted in a higher the compressive and tensile strengths by about 6% and 11% respectively. The relatively higher in the compressive and tensile strengths of the SCC is believed to be mainly due to the inclusion no porosity was found in the SCC. Also fibers works as a crack closure where fibers are randomly distributed through the volume of the concrete at spacing very smaller.
CONCLUSIONS

As a result of this investigation and the comparison of the SCC with the reference mix, the following conclusions may be drawn:

1. High deformability was obtained when the fiber volume was limited to 0.5%. At 1% fibers, the deformability was low but still considerably greater than that of the conventional mixture.

2. The flow time of SCC became faster with the decrease of volume fraction of fibers.

3. V-funnel test should be used to assess workability and blockage resistance. This is especially important when the fiber volume increases causing greater hindrance of spreading.

4. It is possible to achieve a good SCC and a higher in the compressive and tensile strength with a rather large amount of fibers.

5. Self-compacting concrete (SCC) containing steel fibers exhibited higher deformability and flowability than those containing polypropylene or glass fibers.
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Fig. (1): Schematic of the L-Flow Deformability Test.

Fig. (2): Dimension of V-Funnel Test.

Photo. (1): L-box test.

Photo. (2): V-funnel test.
Fig. (3): Slump Flow Diameter versus Types of Fibers.

Fig. (4): Slump Flow Time versus Types of Fibers.

Fig. (5): V-Funnel Flow Time versus Types of Fibers.

Fig. (6): Velocity of SCC versus Types of Fibers.

Fig. (7): H/L Ratios versus Types of Fibers.

Fig. (8): Slump Flow Diameter versus Volume Fraction of Fibers.
Fig. (9): Slump Flow Time versus Volume Fraction of Fibers

Fig. (10): V-Funnel Flow Time versus Volume Fraction of Fibers

Fig. (11): Velocity of SCC versus Volume Fraction of Fibers

Fig. (12): H/L Ratios versus Volume Fraction of Fibers

Fig. (13): Compressive Strength vs % Volume Fraction of Fibers.

Fig. (14): Tensile Strength vs % Volume Fraction of Fibers.
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الملخص

تعتبر الخرسانة ذاتية الدمك نوعية جديدة ذات أداء متميز حيث تحقق أساليب عالية ونزورة معتدلة للحصول على خرسانة طازجة ذات مكونات متداخلة، وهناك ضرورة للحصول على مساحة ذات سطحية عالية لتجنب وجود ارتفاعات وضمان الحصول على خرسانة ذات دمك جيد خاصة في الأعماق الخرسانية ذات التسليح العالي من أجل تحقيق أفضل أداء من ناحية الأداء التكتل، بالإضافة إلى أن هذا النوع من الخرسانة يقوم بمنع عملية الضوضاء الناتجة عن عملية الدمك، وهذا النوع من الخرسانة لا يحتاج إلى عامل مما يوفر في الوقت والمجهود. ومن المعروف أن الخرسانة التي تحتوي على ألياف تحتاج إلى دمك جيد للحصول على خرسانة خالية من النفايات حيث أن الألياف تقلل من قابلية التشغيل وتزيد من عملية الضوضاء إذا فضفاض أن تكون الخرسانة المحتوية على ألياف من نوع الخرسانة ذاتية الدمك.

الغرض من هذا البحث هو دراسة تأثير نوع وكمية الألياف على الخواص الطازجة للخرسانت ذاتية الدمك. وقد تم دراسة ثلاثة أنواع مختلفة من الألياف وهي: ألياف الحديد - ألياف الزجاج - وألياف البوليبرويلين. كما تم دراسة ثلاث كميات مختلفة من هذه الألياف وهي صفر، 0.5، 1% من حجم الخرسانة. وكذلك تم دراسة الخواص الطازجة للخرسانة ذاتية الدمك لمرة قابلة التشغيل وإسهامية الخرسانة من خلال ثلاث اختبارات وهي اختبار الأنساب - اختبار القمح على شكل حرف V - اختبار المورد على شكل حرف L. وقد تمت هذه الاختبارات بدون عمل دمك للخرسانة ذاتية الدمك بينما تم عمل دمك للخرسانة العادية باستخدام هزاز الدمك. وقد تم أيضا في هذا البحث دراسة الخواص الميكانيكية للخرسانة ذاتية الدمك وقابلة لتدفق على هذه الألياف من خلال اختبارات المكابرات والأسطوانات الهيكلية وذلك لتقييم مقاومة الضغط والرشد لهذا النوع من الخرسانة. وقد أظهرت النتائج أن هناك نقص بسيط في قابلية التشغيل نتيجة لإضافة الألياف إلى الخرسانة، وبالرغم من هذا النقص البسيط في قابلية التشغيل إلا أن في الصعب إنتاج خرسانة جيدة في حالة استخدام الألياف بعابرتها بدون ألياف. وقد وضحنت النتائج أنه يمكن إنتاج خرسانة جيدة من ناحية قابلة التشغيل ومقاومة الضغط والرشد في حالة زيادة كمية الألياف إلى أن تصل إلى نسبة 1% من حجم الخرسانة، كما أن استخدام ألياف الحديد من أفضل الأنواع في إنتاج خرسانة ذات أداء متميز.